arXiv:1512.01994v1 [cs.DM] 7 Dec 2015

On König-Egerváry Collections of Maximum Critical Independent Sets

Vadim E. Levit
Department of Computer Science
Ariel University, Israel
levitv@ariel.ac.il

Eugen Mandrescu
Department of Computer Science
Holon Institute of Technology, Israel
eugen_m@hit.ac.il

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is *independent* if no two vertices from S are adjacent. The graph G is known to be a König-Egerváry if $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = |V(G)|$, where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the size of a maximum independent set and $\mu(G)$ is the cardinality of a maximum matching.

The number $d(X) = |X| - |N(X)|$ is the *difference* of $X \subseteq V(G)$, and a set $A \in \text{Ind}(G)$ is *critical* if $d(A) = \max\{d(I) : I \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$ [21].

Let $\Omega(G)$ denote the family of all maximum independent sets. Let us say that a family $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Ind}(G)$ is a König-Egerváry collection if $|\bigcup \Gamma| + |\bigcap \Gamma| = 2\alpha(G)$ [5].

In this paper, we show that if the family of all maximum critical independent sets of a graph G is a König-Egerváry collection, then G is a König-Egerváry graph. It generalizes one of our conjectures recently validated in [19].

Keywords: maximum independent set, critical set, ker, nucleus, core, corona, diadem, König-Egerváry graph.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper G is a finite simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. If $X \subseteq V(G)$, then $G[X]$ is the subgraph of G induced by X. By $G - W$ we mean either the subgraph $G[V(G) - W]$, if $W \subseteq V(G)$, or the subgraph obtained by deleting the edge set W, for $W \subseteq E(G)$. In either case, we use $G - w$, whenever $W = \{w\}$.

The *neighborhood* $N(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set $\{w : w \in V(G) \text{ and } vw \in E(G)\}$. The *neighborhood* $N(A)$ of $A \subseteq V(G)$ is $\{v \in V(G) : N(v) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$, and $N[A] = N(A) \cup A$.

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is *independent* if no two vertices from S are adjacent, and by $\text{Ind}(G)$ we mean the family of all the independent sets of G. An independent set of maximum size is a *maximum independent set* of G, and $\alpha(G) = \max\{|S| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$.

Let $\Omega(G)$ denote the family of all maximum independent sets,

$$\text{core}(G) = \bigcap\{S : S \in \Omega(G)\} \text{ [10], and corona}(G) = \bigcup\{S : S \in \Omega(G)\} \text{ [2].}$$

If $A \in \Omega(G[A])$, then A is called a local maximum independent set of G [11].

A *matching* is a set M of pairwise non-incident edges of G. A matching of maximum cardinality, denoted $\mu(G)$, is a *maximum matching*.

For $X \subseteq V(G)$, the number $|X| - |N(X)|$ is the *difference* of X, denoted $d(X)$. The *critical difference* $d(G)$ is $\max\{d(X) : X \subseteq V(G)\}$. The number $\max\{d(I) : I \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$ is the *critical independence difference* of G, denoted $id(G)$. Clearly, $d(G) \geq id(G)$. It was shown in [21] that $d(G) = id(G)$ holds for every graph G. If A is an independent set in G with $d(X) = id(G)$, then A is a *critical independent set* [21].

Theorem 1.1 *[18] Every local maximum independent set is a subset of a maximum independent set.*

Proposition 1.2 *[14] Each critical independent set is a local maximum independent set.*

Combining Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 one can conclude with the following.

Corollary 1.3 *[3] Every critical independent set can be enlarged to a maximum independent set.*

For a graph G, let us denote

$$\ker(G) = \bigcap\{A : A \textit{ is a critical independent set}\} \text{ [13],}$$

$$\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) = \{S : S \textit{ is a maximum critical independent set}\},$$

$$\text{nucleus}(G) = \bigcap\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \text{ [5], and diadem}(G) = \bigcup\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \text{ [17].}$$

Clearly, $\ker(G) \subseteq \text{nucleus}(G)$ and, by Corollary 1.3, the inclusion $\text{diadem}(G) \subseteq \text{corona}(G)$ is true for each graph G.

Theorem 1.4 *[13] For a graph G, the following assertions are true:*
(i) $\ker(G) \subseteq \text{core}(G)$*;*
(ii) *if A and B are critical in G, then $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are critical as well.*

Let us consider the graphs G_1 and G_2 from Figure 1: $\text{core}(G_1) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and it is a critical set, while $\text{core}(G_2) = \{x, y, z, w\}$ and it is not critical.



Figure 1: Both G_1 and G_2 are not König-Egerváry graphs.

Moreover,

$$\ker(G_1) = \{a, b, c\} \subset \text{core}(G_1) \subset \{a, b, c, d, g\} = \text{nucleus}(G_1),$$

as $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) = \{\{a, b, c, d, e, g\}, \{a, b, c, d, f, g\}\}$.

In addition, notice that $\text{diadem}(G_1) \subsetneq \text{corona}(G_1)$.

Theorem 1.5 *[6] Let $\emptyset \neq \Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$. If $\bigcup\Gamma$ is critical, then $\bigcap\Gamma$ is critical as well.*

It is well-known that $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) \leq |V(G)|$ holds for every graph G. Recall that if $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = |V(G)|$, then G is a *König-Egerváry graph* [4, 20]. For example, each bipartite graph is a König-Egerváry graph. Various properties of König-Egerváry graphs can be found in [1, 7, 12, 15].

Theorem 1.6 *[9, 14] For a graph G, the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *G is a König-Egerváry graph;*

(ii) *there exists some maximum independent set which is critical;*

(iii) *each of its maximum independent sets is critical.*

If Γ, Γ' are two collections of sets, we write $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ if $\bigcup\Gamma' \subseteq \bigcup\Gamma$ and $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq \bigcap\Gamma'$ [5]. Clearly, the relation $\lhd$ is a preorder.

Theorem 1.7 *[5] Let $\emptyset \neq \Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$.*

(i) *If $\Gamma' \subseteq \text{Ind}(G)$ is such that $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$, then $\left|\bigcap\Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma'\right| \leq \left|\bigcap\Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma\right|$.*

(ii) $2\alpha(G) \leq \left|\bigcap\Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma\right|$.

(iii) *If, in addition, G is a König-Egerváry graph, then $\left|\bigcap\Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma\right| = 2\alpha(G)$, and, in particular, $|\text{corona}(G)| + |\text{core}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$.*

Let us notice that if $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$, then $G[N[S]]$ is not necessarily a König-Egerváry graph. For instance, consider the graph G_1 from Figure 1, and $S_1 = \{d, g\}$, $S_2 = \{d, e, g\}$. Then, $G_1[N[S_1]]$ is a König-Egerváry graph, while $G_1[N[S_2]]$ is not a König-Egerváry graph.

Theorem 1.8 *[9] For every graph G, there is some $X \subseteq V(G)$, such that:*

(i) *$X = N[S]$ for every $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$;*

(ii) *$G[X]$ is a König-Egerváry graph.*

In other words, Theorem 1.8*(i)* claims that $X = N[S]$ does not depend on the choice of $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. The *critical independence number* of G is defined as $\alpha'(G) = \max\{|S| : S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)\}$ [9].

Recently, the following conjectures were validated in [19].

Conjecture 1.9 *[5] If $|\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$, then G is a König-Egerváry graph.*

Conjecture 1.10 *[6] If $|\text{diadem}(G)| = |\text{corona}(G)|$, then G is a König-Egerváry graph.*

Conjecture 1.11 *[6] $|\ker(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| \leq 2\alpha(G)$ for every graph G.*

In this paper we involve these findings in a more general framework, where they appear as corollaries.

2 Results

Lemma 2.1 *If* $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ *and* $X = N[S]$, *then* $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \lhd \Omega(G[X])$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.2, we get that $\alpha(G[X]) = |S|$. Since, in accordance with Theorem 1.8*(i)*, $X = N[A]$ for each $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, we may conclude that $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \subseteq \Omega(G[X])$. Hence, $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \lhd \Omega(G[X])$. ■

There is a graph G with $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \subsetneq \Omega(G[X])$, $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, and $X = N[S]$. For instance, the graph G from Figure 2 has

$$\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) = \{\{a,b,c,d,e,g\},\{a,b,c,d,f,g\}\}, X = N[\{a,b,c,d,e,g\}],$$

while $\{a,b,c,d,e,k\} \in \Omega(G[X]) - \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$.



Figure 2: $d(\{a,b,c,d,e,k\}) = 1 < 2 = d(G)$.

Corollary 2.2 *[19] If* $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ *and* $X = N[S]$, *then*

$$\text{diadem}(G) \subseteq \text{diadem}(G[X]) \text{ and } \text{nucleus}(G[X]) \subseteq \text{nucleus}(G).$$

Proof. In accordance with Theorem 1.8*(ii)*, $G[X]$ is a König-Egerváry graph. Hence, Theorem 1.6*(iii)* implies that $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G[X]) = \Omega(G[X])$. Therefore, Lemma 2.1 ensures that $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \lhd \text{MaxCritIndep}(G[X])$, which, by definition, means $\text{diadem}(G) \subseteq \text{diadem}(G[X])$ and $\text{nucleus}(G[X]) \subseteq \text{nucleus}(G)$. ■

Theorem 2.3 *If* $\emptyset \neq \Gamma' \subseteq \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ *and* $\emptyset \neq \Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$, *then*

$$\left|\bigcap \Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma'\right| \leq 2\alpha'(G) \leq 2\alpha(G) \leq \left|\bigcap \Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma\right|.$$

Proof. Let $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ and $X = N[S]$. Since $\Gamma' \subseteq \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, and, by Lemma 2.1, $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \lhd \Omega(G[X])$, we get $\Gamma' \lhd \Omega(G[X])$. According to Theorem 1.8*(ii)*, $G[X]$ is a König-Egerváry graph. Now, using Theorem 1.7*(ii), (iii)*, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\bigcap \Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma'\right| &\leq |\text{core}(G[X])| + |\text{corona}(G[X])| \\ &= 2\alpha(G[X]) = 2\alpha'(G) \leq 2\alpha(G) \leq \left|\bigcap \Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma\right|,\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. ■

If $\Gamma' = \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ and $\Gamma = \Omega(G)$, Theorem 2.3 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 2.4 *[19]* $|\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| \leq 2\alpha(G)$ *for every graph* G.

Since $\ker(G) \subseteq \text{nucleus}(G)$, Corollary 2.4 validates Conjecture 1.11.

Let us recall that a family of independent sets Γ is a *König-Egerváry collection* if

$$\left|\bigcap \Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma\right| = 2\alpha(G) \text{ [5]}.$$

If there exists a König-Egerváry collection $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$, this does not oblige G to be a König-Egerváry graph. For instance, the graph G from Figure 3 satisfies $|\text{corona}(G)| + |\text{core}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$, i.e., $\Omega(G)$ is a König-Egerváry collection, while G is not a König-Egerváry graph.



Figure 3: $\text{core}(G) = \{a, b\}$ and $\text{corona}(G) = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$.

Theorem 2.5 *For a graph G, the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *G is a König-Egerváry graph;*

(ii) *every non-empty family of maximum critical independent sets of G is a König-Egerváry collection;*

(iii) *there is a König-Egerváry collection of maximum critical independent sets of G.*

Proof. *(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii)* By Theorem 1.6, we obtain $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) = \Omega(G)$. Further, in accordance with Theorem 1.7*(iii)*, each $\Gamma' \subseteq \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ is a König-Egerváry collection.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Clear.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Let $\Gamma' \subseteq \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ be a König-Egerváry collection, $S \in \Gamma'$ and $X = N[S]$. Since, by Lemma 2.1, $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) \lhd \Omega(G[X])$, we arrive at the conclusion that $\Gamma' \lhd \Omega(G[X])$, and hence,

$$\left|\bigcap \Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma'\right| \leq |\text{nucleus}(G[X])| + |\text{diadem}(G[X])|.$$

According to Theorem 1.8*(ii)*, $G[X]$ is a König-Egerváry graph. Using Theorem 1.7*(iii)*, we infer that

$$2\alpha(G) = \left|\bigcap \Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup \Gamma'\right| \leq |\text{nucleus}(G[X])| + |\text{diadem}(G[X])| = 2\alpha(G[X]) \leq 2\alpha(G).$$

Consequently, we obtain $\alpha(G[X]) = \alpha(G)$, which ensures that G is a König-Egerváry graph. ■

Since $|\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$ means that $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ is a König-Egerváry collection, Theorem 2.5 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 2.6 *[19] If $|\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$, then G is a König-Egerváry graph, which validates Conjecture 1.9.*

If $\emptyset \neq \Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$, then none of $\bigcap\Gamma$ and $\bigcup\Gamma$ is necessarily critical. For instance, consider the graph G from Figure 3, and $\Gamma = \{\{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, c, f\}\} \subseteq \Omega(G)$.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$ and $\emptyset \neq \Gamma' \subseteq \mathrm{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, be such that for every $A \in \Gamma'$ there exists $S \in \Gamma$ enjoying $A \subseteq S$. If $\bigcap\Gamma$ is a critical set, then the following assertions are true:*

(i) $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq \bigcap\Gamma'$;

(ii) $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$;

(iii) $\left|\bigcap\Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma'\right| \leq \left|\bigcap\Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma\right|$;

(iv) $\bigcap\Gamma' = \bigcap\Gamma$, *if, in addition,* $\bigcup\Gamma' = \bigcup\Gamma$.

Proof. *(i)* Let $A \in \Gamma'$ and $S \in \Gamma$, such that $A \subseteq S$. Since $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq S$, it follows that $A \cup \bigcap\Gamma \subseteq S$, and hence, $A \cup \bigcap\Gamma$ is independent. By Theorem 1.4, we get that $A \cup \bigcap\Gamma$ is a critical independent set. Since $A \subseteq A \cup \bigcap\Gamma$ and A is a maximum critical independent set, we infer that $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq A$. Thus, $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq A$ for every $A \in \Gamma'$. Therefore, $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq \bigcap\Gamma'$.

(ii) By Part *(i)*, we know that $\bigcap\Gamma \subseteq \bigcap\Gamma'$. According the hypothesis, every element of Γ' is included in some element of Γ. Hence, we deduce that $\bigcup\Gamma' \subseteq \bigcup\Gamma$.

(iii) The inequality follows from Part *(ii)* and Theorem 1.7*(i)*.

(iv) Part *(iii)* implies $\left|\bigcap\Gamma'\right| \leq \left|\bigcap\Gamma\right|$, and using Part *(i)*, we obtain $\bigcap\Gamma = \bigcap\Gamma'$. ■

Theorem 2.8 *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$ and $\emptyset \neq \Gamma' \subseteq \mathrm{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ be such that for every $A \in \Gamma'$ there exists $S \in \Gamma$ enjoying $A \subseteq S$. If $\bigcup\Gamma' = \bigcup\Gamma$, then G is a König-Egerváry graph.*

Proof. Since, by Theorem 1.4*(ii)*, $\bigcup\Gamma'$ is critical, we get that $\bigcup\Gamma$ is critical. Hence, according to Theorem 1.5, we infer that $\bigcap\Gamma$ is critical. Applying Theorem 2.7, we obtain $\bigcap\Gamma = \bigcap\Gamma'$. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(G) &\leq \left|\bigcap\Gamma\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma\right| = \left|\bigcap\Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma'\right| \\ &\leq |\mathrm{core}(G[X])| + |\mathrm{corona}(G[X])| = 2\alpha(G[X]) \leq 2\alpha(G). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\left|\bigcap\Gamma'\right| + \left|\bigcup\Gamma'\right| = 2\alpha(G)$, which ensures, by Theorem 2.5, that G is a König-Egerváry graph. ■

If $\Gamma' = \mathrm{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ and $\Gamma = \Omega(G)$, Theorem 2.8 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 2.9 *[19] If* $\mathrm{diadem}(G) = \mathrm{corona}(G)$, *then G is a König-Egerváry graph, which validates Conjecture 1.10.*

3 Conclusions

In this paper we focus on interconnections between unions and intersections of maximum critical independents sets of a graph.

In [19] the question about possible polynomial complexity of the lower bounds

$$|\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| \leq 2\alpha\,(G)$$

for every graph G arises.

Let $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$.

Proposition 3.1 $2\alpha'(G) = d\,(\ker(G)) + |N[S]|$.

Proof. Since $\ker(G)$ and S are critical sets of the graph G, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\,(\ker(G)) + |N[S]| &= |\ker(G)| - |N\,(\ker(G))| + |S| + |N\,(S)| \\ &= |S| - |N\,(S)| + |S| + |N\,(S)| = 2\,|S| = 2\alpha'(G), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

By Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 3.1, if G is a König-Egerváry graph, then we get

$$2\alpha(G) = d\,(\ker(G)) + |N[S]|\,,$$

because $\alpha'(G) = \alpha(G)$. Consequently,

$$2\alpha\,(G) \leq |\ker(G)| + |N\,[S]|\,,$$

which motivates the following.

Problem 3.2 *Characterize graphs such that*

$$2\alpha\,(G) \leq |\ker(G)| + |N\,[S]| \leq |\ker(G)| + 2\alpha'(G) \leq 3\alpha'(G).$$

Let us call them approximate König-Egerváry graphs.

By Proposition 3.1,

$$|\ker(G)| + |N\,[S]| - |N\,(\ker(G))| = 2\alpha'(G) \leq 2\alpha\,(G)\,.$$

Since $\ker(G)$ [13], $|N\,[S]|$ and $\alpha'(G)$ [8] can be computed polinomially, it means that for approximate König-Egerváry graphs their independence numbers are bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|\ker(G)| + |N\,[S]|}{2} - \frac{|N\,(\ker(G))|}{2} = \alpha'(G) \leq \alpha\,(G) \\ &\leq \frac{|\ker(G)| + |N\,[S]|}{2} \leq \frac{|\ker(G)|}{2} + \alpha'(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}\alpha'(G). \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] F. Bonomo, M. C. Dourado, G. Durán, L. Faria, L. N. Grippo, M. D. Safe, *Forbidden subgraphs and the König-Egerváry property*, Discrete Applied Mathematics Volume **161** (2013) 2380–2388.

[2] E. Boros, M. C. Golumbic, V. E. Levit, *On the number of vertices belonging to all maximum stable sets of a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **124** (2002) 17-25.

[3] S. Butenko, S. Trukhanov, *Using critical sets to solve the maximum independent set problem*, Operations Research Letters **35** (2007) 519–524.

[4] R. W. Deming, *Independence numbers of graphs - an extension of the König-Egerváry theorem*, Discrete Mathematics **27** (1979) 23–33.

[5] A. Jarden, V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Monotonic properties of collections of maximum independent sets of a graph*, arXiv:1506.00249 [cs.DM] (2015), 15 pp.

[6] A. Jarden, V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical and maximum independent sets of a graph*, arXiv:1506.00255 [cs.DM] (2015) 12 pp.

[7] E. Korach, T. Nguyen, B. Peis, *Subgraph characterization of red/blue-split graphs and König-Egerváry graphs*, in: Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM Press, 2006, 842–850.

[8] C. E. Larson, *A note on critical independent sets*, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications **51** (2007) 34–46.

[9] C. E. Larson, *The critical independence number and an independence decomposition*, European Journal of Combinatorics **32** (2011) 294–300.

[10] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Combinatorial properties of the family of maximum stable sets of a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **117** (2002) 149–161.

[11] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *A new greedoid: the family of local maximum stable sets of a forest*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **124** (2002) 91–101.

[12] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On α^+-stable König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **263** (2003) 179–190.

[13] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Vertices belonging to all critical independent sets of a graph*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **26** (2012) 399–403.

[14] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical independent sets and König-Egerváry graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **28** (2012) 243–250.

[15] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On maximum matchings in König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **161** (2013) 1635–1638.

[16] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *A set and collection lemma*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **21** (2014) #P1.40.

[17] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical independent sets of a graph*, arXiv:1407.7368 [cs.DM] (2014) 15 pp.

[18] G. L. Nemhauser, L. E. Trotter Jr., *Vertex packings: structural properties and algorithms*, Mathematical Programming **8** (1975) 232–248.

[19] T. Short, *On some conjectures concerning critical independent sets of a graph*, arXiv:1509.05057 [math.CO] (2015) 10 pp.

[20] F. Sterboul, *A characterization of the graphs in which the transversal number equals the matching number*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory B **27** (1979) 228–229.

[21] C. Q. Zhang, *Finding critical independent sets and critical vertex subsets are polynomial problems*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **3** (1990) 431–438.